UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,578	01/30/2004	Jennifer Czark	717-508	7317
23869 7590 05/30/2007 HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP			EXAMINER	
6900 JERICHO	TURNPIKE		BECKER, DREW E	
SYOSSET, NY	11/91		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		•	1761	
			 	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/768,578	CZARK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Drew E. Becker	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA • Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w • Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ap	oril 2007				
	action is non-final.				
, <u> </u>	e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/30/04</u> .	6) Other:	мен Аррисацон			

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 15-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/9/07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 2 recites "an interior surface shaped to direct products within said container towards said cutting member".
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-3 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 2 recites "an interior surface shaped to direct products within said container towards said cutting member". It is not clear what shape would provide this affect.

Art Unit: 1761

6. Claims 3 and 9 recite a "low speed, high torque motor". It is not clear what levels of speed and torque would be considered "low" or "high".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-6 and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McLean [Pat. No. 4,199,112].

McLean teaches a device comprising a base (Figure 1, #10), an electric motor (column 2, line 45), a removable container (Figure 1, #18), a cutting member with a slot and cutting edge (Figure 2, #40), a feed vessel (Figure 1, #24), a mixing blade adjoining the inner surface of the container (Figure 1, #20), and a drive shaft (Figure 1, #14). Phrases such as "at a speed between about 1000-1400 rpm" are merely preferred methods of using the claimed apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1761

10. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLean in view of Williams [Pat. No. 4,285,473].

McLean teaches the above mentioned components. McLean does not recite gears.

Williams teaches a food processor comprising a gear assembly (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the gears of Williams into the invention of McLean since both are directed to food processors, since McLean already recited using any suitable link between the motor and drive shaft (column 2, line 48), and since the gear assembly of Willams was an effective means for controlling a food process blade (column 2, lines 12-31).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brady et al [Pat. No. 6,748,853], Starr et al [Pat. No. 6,814,323], Madan [Pat. No. 4,256,265], Cristante [Pat. No. 4,551,026], Unteregger [Pat. No. 6,986,476], Van Guilder [Pat. No. 2,728,368], Cavalli [Pat. No. 4,392,361], Herbert [Pat. No. 4,681,030], Reese et al [Pat. No. 5,619,901], Karkos Jr [Pat. No. 6,216,968], Navedo et al [Pat. No. 6,959,562], and Wulf et al [Pat. No. 6,609,821] teach food mixing and slicing devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am to 4:30pm.

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DREW BECKER
PRIMARY SAMINER